

TECHNICAL REPORT



**Medical electrical system –
Guidelines for safe integration and operation of adaptive external-beam
radiotherapy systems for real-time adaptive radiotherapy**

INTERNATIONAL
ELECTROTECHNICAL
COMMISSION

ICS 11.040.50

ISBN 978-2-8322-6911-4

Warning! Make sure that you obtained this publication from an authorized distributor.

CONTENTS

FOREWORD.....	4
INTRODUCTION.....	6
1 Scope.....	9
2 Normative references	9
3 Terms and definitions	9
4 General safety guidelines for an AEBRS for intra-fractionally moving rigid TARGET VOLUMES	12
4.1 TARGET VOLUMES addressed in this document.....	12
4.2 Relationship between system configuration of an AEBRS, existing standards, and this document.....	13
4.2.1 General	13
4.2.2 Representative configurations of AEBRSs, their relationships to existing standards, and this document	13
4.2.3 Representative AEBRS reference models	16
4.3 RISK MANAGEMENT of an AEBRS	17
4.3.1 General	17
4.3.2 RISK ANALYSIS of an AEBRS	18
4.3.3 RISK EVALUATION	18
4.3.4 RISK control	18
4.3.5 Evaluation of overall RESIDUAL RISK acceptability	18
4.3.6 RISK MANAGEMENT report.....	19
4.3.7 Examples of RISK MANAGEMENT of an AEBRS	19
5 Guidance for design elements that should be considered for safe integration of an AEBRS for intra-fractionally moving TARGET VOLUMES	19
5.1 General.....	19
5.2 Specific guidelines for an AEBRS	20
5.2.1 Configuration of the AEBRS.....	20
5.2.2 INTERLOCKS	20
5.2.3 Coordinate system.....	21
5.2.4 Communication between pieces of equipment.....	21
5.2.5 Interactions between MDE, MCF and EBE	22
5.2.6 Status check of each piece of equipment.....	23
5.2.7 Failure state judgement	23
5.2.8 LATENCY related to an AEBRS.....	23
5.2.9 Typical verification items of the AEBRS	25
5.2.10 Validation of AEBRS	25
5.3 Specific guidelines for the MOTION COORDINATION FUNCTION	25
5.3.1 PREDICTION MODEL.....	25
5.3.2 Gating and tracking	25
Annex A (informative) A minimum set of HAZARDS to consider for adaptive TREATMENT functions.....	27
A.1 Overview.....	27
A.2 Reference design for adaptive TREATMENT functionality	27
A.3 Overview of HAZARDOUS SITUATIONS	28
Annex B (informative) An example of RISK ANALYSIS for an AEBRS with gating function using X-RAY FLUOROSCOPE as an MDE.....	32
B.1 Configuration of the example AEBRS	32

B.2	PROCESS overview	32
B.3	HAZARDOUS SITUATIONS and RISK fishbone diagram	33
B.4	Failure Mode and Effect Analysis (FMEA)	33
B.5	RISK ANALYSIS.....	37
Annex C (informative)	An example of RISK ANALYSIS for an AEBRS with tracking function using two different MDEs.....	38
C.1	Configuration of the example AEBRS.....	38
C.2	Failure Mode and Effect Analysis (FMEA)	38
Annex D (informative)	Dynamic phantom for validation tests	42
D.1	Necessity of a dynamic phantom.....	42
D.2	Guidelines for a simple dynamic phantom	42
D.3	Example of dosimetric validation test using the dynamic phantom.....	42
	Bibliography.....	44
	Index of defined terms	46
	Figure 1 – Concept of AEBRS with information flow	7
	Figure 2 – Example of system configuration.....	7
	Figure 3 – AEBRS incorporating an MDE addressed by particular standards	14
	Figure 4 – AEBRS incorporating an MDE not addressed by a particular standard	14
	Figure 5 – AEBRS incorporating an MDE with an interface to an MCF.....	14
	Figure 6 – AEBRS incorporating an MCF with an interface to an EBE	14
	Figure 7 – AEBRS with a gating interface between an MCF and an EBE	15
	Figure 8 – Functions and information flow of an AEBRS	15
	Figure 9 – BEAM GATING system for intra-fractionally moving rigid TARGET VOLUMES	16
	Figure 10 – Beam tracking system for intra-fractionally moving rigid TARGET VOLUMES.....	17
	Figure A.1 – Functional decomposition of adaptive TREATMENT control for gating and tracking functionality in the AEBRS	28
	Figure B.1 – Risk fishbone diagram for an AEBRS	33
	Figure B.2 – Tables for RISK ANALYSIS	37
	Figure D.1 – Sketch of a simple dynamic phantom	43
	Table B.1 – Failure Mode and Effect Analysis (FMEA) for an AEBRS using fluoroscope as a MDE, gating function as an MCF, and proton beam therapy machine as an EBE	34
	Table C.1 – Failure Mode and Effect Analysis (FMEA) for an AEBRS with tracking function as an MCF, and Infrared (IR) camera as MDE 1, x-ray fluoroscope as MDE 2, and MEDICAL ELECTRON ACCELERATOR as EBE	39

INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

MEDICAL ELECTRICAL SYSTEM –**GUIDELINES FOR SAFE INTEGRATION AND OPERATION OF ADAPTIVE
EXTERNAL-BEAM RADIOTHERAPY SYSTEMS FOR REAL-TIME ADAPTIVE
RADIOTHERAPY**

FOREWORD

- 1) The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is a worldwide organization for standardization comprising all national electrotechnical committees (IEC National Committees). The object of IEC is to promote international co-operation on all questions concerning standardization in the electrical and electronic fields. To this end and in addition to other activities, IEC publishes International Standards, Technical Specifications, Technical Reports, Publicly Available Specifications (PAS) and Guides (hereafter referred to as "IEC Publication(s)"). Their preparation is entrusted to technical committees; any IEC National Committee interested in the subject dealt with may participate in this preparatory work. International, governmental and non-governmental organizations liaising with the IEC also participate in this preparation. IEC collaborates closely with the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) in accordance with conditions determined by agreement between the two organizations.
- 2) The formal decisions or agreements of IEC on technical matters express, as nearly as possible, an international consensus of opinion on the relevant subjects since each technical committee has representation from all interested IEC National Committees.
- 3) IEC Publications have the form of recommendations for international use and are accepted by IEC National Committees in that sense. While all reasonable efforts are made to ensure that the technical content of IEC Publications is accurate, IEC cannot be held responsible for the way in which they are used or for any misinterpretation by any end user.
- 4) In order to promote international uniformity, IEC National Committees undertake to apply IEC Publications transparently to the maximum extent possible in their national and regional publications. Any divergence between any IEC Publication and the corresponding national or regional publication shall be clearly indicated in the latter.
- 5) IEC itself does not provide any attestation of conformity. Independent certification bodies provide conformity assessment services and, in some areas, access to IEC marks of conformity. IEC is not responsible for any services carried out by independent certification bodies.
- 6) All users should ensure that they have the latest edition of this publication.
- 7) No liability shall attach to IEC or its directors, employees, servants or agents including individual experts and members of its technical committees and IEC National Committees for any personal injury, property damage or other damage of any nature whatsoever, whether direct or indirect, or for costs (including legal fees) and expenses arising out of the publication, use of, or reliance upon, this IEC Publication or any other IEC Publications.
- 8) Attention is drawn to the Normative references cited in this publication. Use of the referenced publications is indispensable for the correct application of this publication.
- 9) Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this IEC Publication may be the subject of patent rights. IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

The main task of IEC technical committees is to prepare International Standards. However, a technical committee may propose the publication of a technical report when it has collected data of a different kind from that which is normally published as an International Standard, for example "state of the art".

IEC TR 62926, which is a technical report, has been prepared by subcommittee 62C: Equipment for radiotherapy, nuclear medicine and radiation dosimetry, of IEC technical committee 62: Electrical equipment in medical practice.

The text of this technical report is based on the following documents:

Enquiry draft	Report on voting
62C/729/DTR	62C/737/RVDTR

Full information on the voting for the approval of this technical report can be found in the report on voting indicated in the above table.

This document has been drafted in accordance with the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

In this document, the following print types are used:

- requirements and definitions: roman type;
- TERMS DEFINED IN CLAUSE 3 OR AS NOTED: SMALL CAPITALS.

The committee has decided that the contents of this document will remain unchanged until the stability date indicated on the IEC website under "<http://webstore.iec.ch>" in the data related to the specific document. At this date, the document will be

- reconfirmed,
- withdrawn,
- replaced by a revised edition, or
- amended.

A bilingual version of this publication may be issued at a later date.

IMPORTANT – The 'colour inside' logo on the cover page of this publication indicates that it contains colours which are considered to be useful for the correct understanding of its contents. Users should therefore print this document using a colour printer.

INTRODUCTION

Recent developments in RADIOTHERAPY using EXTERNAL BEAM EQUIPMENT (EBE) allow the delivery of doses to TARGET VOLUMES with greater precision and accuracy than before, while also sparing surrounding critical structures to a higher degree. Three-dimensional or four-dimensional volumetric images are increasingly being used as PATIENT ANATOMY MODELS in RADIOTHERAPY TREATMENT PLANNING SYSTEMS (RTPSS) when simulating a dose distribution. The intended dose distribution is achievable when the four-dimensional location and shape of the TARGET VOLUME and organs at RISK (OARs) during TREATMENT match those of the TARGET VOLUME and OARs at the time of TREATMENT PLANNING. PATIENT anatomy and related physiology are subject to continuous changes as may result from respiration, cardiac motion, and digestive motion, both in the short and long term perspective during RADIOTHERAPY. These include changes in position, orientation, and deformation of the TARGET VOLUME.

Consideration for changes in anatomy or physiology during the course of RADIOTHERAPY, as well as during each fraction, is an important issue in modern RADIOTHERAPY. For example, lung tumours can exhibit translational and rotational changes which may result in underdosage of the TARGET VOLUME and overdosage of OARs. Techniques have been developed to reduce these RISKS by adapting the TREATMENT to the tumour as it moves in real-time. This can be achieved by instructing the EBE to perform a BEAM HOLD during translational motion of the TARGET VOLUME, by repositioning the PATIENT using a robotic PATIENT POSITIONER, by tilting or moving the RADIATION HEAD, by dynamically adapting the MULTILEAF COLLIMATORS (MLCs) of the EBE, or by changing the scanning field of LIGHT ION BEAM equipment operating in scanning mode.

During delivery of ADAPTIVE RADIOTHERAPY, the PATIENT anatomy or physiology is monitored and changes to TREATMENT PARAMETERS are allowed throughout the course of TREATMENT based upon the monitored information (see definition of ADAPTIVE RADIOTHERAPY). ADAPTIVE RADIOTHERAPY is increasingly being used to assure delivery of the prescribed ABSORBED DOSE distribution during intra-fractional changes of TARGET VOLUMES. There are many different types of MOTION DETECTION EQUIPMENT (MDE) used to monitor intra-fractional organ changes. Some of these use imaging techniques, e.g. X-RAY BASED IMAGE-GUIDED RADIOTHERAPY, ULTRASOUND EQUIPMENT, and MAGNETIC RESONANCE EQUIPMENT, while others use surrogate parameters. Examples of equipment that use surrogate parameters include air flow meters, STRAIN GAUGES, infrared sensors, optical surface mapping devices, and magnetic field sensors. In some cases, multiple MDEs are combined with a single EBE to monitor intra-fraction motion of multiple organs.

When ADAPTIVE RADIOTHERAPY includes intra-fraction monitoring of the TARGET VOLUME position and shape using an MDE, coordination between the MDE and the EBE is crucial to apply TREATMENT PARAMETER changes at the correct time. A MOTION COORDINATION FUNCTION (MCF) ensures that information about position and shape is appropriately linked to the TREATMENT PLAN, selects TREATMENT PARAMETERS, and sends ADAPTATION INSTRUCTIONS to the EBE. Integration and operation of the MDE, EBE, and MCF is essential to perform ADAPTIVE RADIOTHERAPY safely for a PATIENT with an intra-fractionally changing TARGET VOLUME. There are many possible combinations of EBES, MDEs and MCFs. Each one can function independently or be integrated as a part of another. Because each function could be an independent piece of MEDICAL ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT (MEE) and since the safety discussed in this document depends upon the safe integration and operation of the EBES, MDEs, and MCFs, this combination will be dealt with as a MEDICAL ELECTRICAL SYSTEM. An adaptive external-beam RADIOTHERAPY system (AEBRS) consists of these three main pieces of equipment and respective functions.

The MCF part of an AEBRS can be software or a PROGRAMMABLE ELECTRICAL MEDICAL SYSTEM, and should be subject to the requirements of IEC 62304 or IEC 60601-1. The MDE can be components or systems which are not necessarily compliant with IEC 60601-1.

The reader's attention is drawn to ASTM F-2761 (a publication of the American Society for Testing and Materials) which describes an integrated clinical environment (ICE). The general requirements and the conceptual model of an ICE are described in F-2761. This document uses similar concepts and presents guidance for AEBRS RISK MANAGEMENT.

The reader's attention is also drawn to RADIATION PROTECTION N° 181 which contains general guidelines on RISK MANAGEMENT in external beam radiotherapy.

The concept of an AEBRS with representative information flow is shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1 – Concept of AEBRS with information flow

This document provides guidelines for the safe integration and operation of an AEBRS for REAL-TIME ADAPTIVE RADIOTHERAPY. Since real-time monitoring of deformations of TARGET VOLUMES is still a work-in-progress at this moment, this document addresses rigid TARGET VOLUMES exhibiting intra-fractional translations and rotations. Deformations of TARGET VOLUMES are not considered.

This document covers systems, whose configuration may be represented by Figure 2, where potential use of multiple MDEs in one AEBRS is reflected.




Figure 2 – Example of system configuration

Some EQUIPMENT for image or data acquisition and motion coordination is not covered by existing standards. Therefore, there are safety aspects that arise from the integration of various EQUIPMENT into an AEBRS that should be considered and that are not addressed by existing standards. Based on the considerations discussed above, guidelines should be developed to mitigate the RISKS arising from the integration and operation of ME EQUIPMENT and other various equipment (including non-ME EQUIPMENT) into an AEBRS, as shown in Figures 1 and 2.

This document discusses potential RISKS to be considered during the RISK ANALYSIS and provides recommendations for the safe integration and operation of an AEBRS. Since not all equipment may have an IEC/ISO standard, or an existing standard may not cover the use of the equipment as part of an AEBRS, this document also provides guidelines for individual pieces of EQUIPMENT that are part of the AEBRS. These guidelines are meant to enhance and not supersede requirements that may already exist.

Regarding existing standards, IEC 60601-2-68 includes requirements for X-ray-based MDE in an AEBRS. Requirements and recommendations in IEC 60601-2-68 are often applicable to an AEBRS where the MDE is other than an X-ray-based imaging device, such as optical, ULTRASOUND, or MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING devices. For example, requirements addressing protection against electrical, mechanical, and RADIATION HAZARDS, or requirements addressing X-IGRT LATENCY, which is the time between initiation of image acquisition to delivery of the output signal by an MDE, are also applicable to non X-ray-based imaging devices. MANUFACTURERS or RESPONSIBLE ORGANIZATIONS who integrate an AEBRS for intra-fractionally moving rigid TARGET VOLUMES should use IEC 60601-2-68 as guidance even when they utilize non X-ray-based imaging devices as MDE in the AEBRS.

Finally, this document addresses safety issues of the AEBRS without assuming specific clinical procedures. As with any testing within a clinical environment, the RESPONSIBLE ORGANIZATION should consider its clinical workflows and practices when devising tests for its facility.

MEDICAL ELECTRICAL SYSTEM –

GUIDELINES FOR SAFE INTEGRATION AND OPERATION OF ADAPTIVE EXTERNAL-BEAM RADIOTHERAPY SYSTEMS FOR REAL-TIME ADAPTIVE RADIOTHERAPY

1 Scope

This document provides guidelines for safe integration and operation of an adaptive external-beam RADIOTHERAPY system (AEBRS) for intra-fractionally moving rigid TARGET VOLUMES, where required equipment can be sourced from one or several MANUFACTURERS. In particular it addresses guidelines to help ensure safe integration and operation for the PATIENT, OPERATOR, other persons and sensitive devices in the vicinity. In this document, the word “system” is hereafter used to refer to an AEBRS.

This document specifies the safety guidelines for a MANUFACTURER or RESPONSIBLE ORGANIZATION who integrates the AEBRS for intra-fractionally moving rigid TARGET VOLUMES. If a RESPONSIBLE ORGANIZATION integrates an AEBRS, then it takes the role of MANUFACTURER and will be referred to as a MANUFACTURER throughout this document.

This document includes reference models of the AEBRS for intra-fractionally moving rigid TARGET VOLUMES and HAZARDS which, at a minimum, are considered during the RISK ANALYSIS.

Although TARGET VOLUMES and OARs can deform during motion, adaptations in response to deformations of the TARGET VOLUME are out of the scope of this document. The scope is limited to rigid TARGET VOLUMES exhibiting intra-fractional movements, both translational and rotational. While technical HAZARDS are discussed in this document, the RESPONSIBLE ORGANIZATION is reminded that clinical judgement is always employed when determining clinical usability and reviewing TREATMENT PARAMETER changes.

This document does not specifically address HAZARD mitigations for each of the HAZARDS mentioned in the document; however, some mitigations are given as examples in Clauses 4 and 5. All guidelines in this document are intended to be implemented in accordance with the general standard IEC 60601-1:2005 and IEC 60601-1:2005/AMD1:2012, with special attention to 4.2 of IEC 60601-1:2005 and IEC 60601-1:2005/AMD1:2012.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

IEC 60601-1:2005, *Medical electrical equipment – Part 1: General requirements for basic safety and essential performance*
IEC 60601-1:2005/AMD1:2012

ISO 14971:2007, *Medical devices – Application of risk management to medical devices*